We can prepare to live on or off stage, but we cannot be sure what will happen, how it will work. There are too many variables. We can only guide one another on a certain part of the journey. Share our mutual observations, experiences and doubts as we go along.

One exercise can teach you to take in the whole of the room where you are standing; another to take in the whole reality of the life you are living. If I think of the physical exercise of lowering myself down on my knees with the rest of the body erect, almost in a backwards arch, whilst maintaining the same slow, controlled tempo, and I think of this as my life in theatre up until now after twenty-five years of practice, I think I am at the stage of the exercise towards the finishing point where the impulse to fold my body, or lower it down more rapidly to the ground is at its strongest. In this exercise it is vital not to give in to that impulse.

One morning not long ago, I woke up with a strong sensation that there was no band aid solid enough. I seriously doubted theatre practice as a survival kit; pointless; useless. Out of date? What was the f...g point of all our activities anyway? Really? And who cares? Who needs theatre? Of all things.

Dark media-made images flashed in front of my eyes: the genocide in Rwanda, the earthquakes, floods and famines, the corruption and corporate criminality, the apparently locked situation in the Middle East. Dark private flashes of friends and family being sick or in despair, flashes of rage at myself for being in a situation with too little time to care.

As I always in general, but not every day in particular, doubt what I am doing, this means it is time for a walk: literally and metaphorically speaking; to find a way out. I could not turn to God, as I do not believe in him, and I did not really want to speak with anybody else either. I went to the river. There is a memorial there; a modest monument for the local sailors who died at sea during the Second World War.

Standing by the river, in the midst of trees in the park by the Seafarers’ Museum, resting my eyes and thoughts on the list of names engraved on the monument helped me to get a perspective. And I think that if I have any longer a method, technique or strategy for survival, it is
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to keep struggling for a perspective.

I often want to give up, say no, go away, be elsewhere, read a hundred books in a row. Play eternally with grandchildren throwing pebbles in the water, paint with my daughter, bike with my son, write long letters to my mother and father, go for walks elsewhere than by the river, with my sister and brother maybe, talk of all and nothing at all. What would we be without dreams? I always return to the theatre. If theatre practice is a survival kit, it is a practice in trying to be fair, honest and to do well; to have aims and objectives. And only sometimes the angels come down and create magic…

A possibility for shaping, sharing, fighting for your own ethics, morale and beliefs in all aspects of work exists in the theatre collective. We can influence, stand up for, speak up for: have a practice. Why did some of us start with theatre, and group theatre, in the first place? It was a search for a place to belong, for a community where you felt included, not like society in general from which we often felt excluded, or to which we did not want to belong. It was because of a strong differentiation - them and us. Where I am at now, my various works, tasks and practices are so interwoven, I see them in a more overall perspective. This may be something more to do with philosophy and history and life than with concrete training in the space. However, my temptation to make analogies comes from those first concrete, tactile exercises: the long, slow work of becoming a prepared actor.

I am full of scepticism as to how or whether I can pass these skills on - on paper. And I still think that to share is of vital importance. There is a travelling anecdote within European group theatre about some of the early methods of training, originally described in a book by Jerzy Grotowski. The story goes of a young theatre group getting hold of a copy of the book, but without knowing the language in which it was written well enough, in their attempts to learn the exercises they developed a whole new set of exercises: the no-hands exercises as we call them, trying to do the already complicated hatha-yoga and acrobatic exercises without using their hands or arms.

The intelligent actor has knowledge, deeply rooted in the body's own memory and sensory system; a body intelligence that can be called upon, that can answer, solve, or counter-propose when a question is being raised. The body inhabits a language of its own, it talks, writes. This intelligence might also oppose/object to the spoken/written language as it is based on another system of thinking/feeling. The moment I start to describe my work, it sounds inadequate, incomplete; it is not possible to find a formula which takes into consideration the various circumstances in which every single exercise is carried out, by one unique person with her dispositions, background, brain and body, in a particular room, somewhere on this planet. As I think of myself as different from others, I must believe that all the others must be equally different from each other and me.

The doubt about describing the transference of knowledge doesn’t have to be based on lack of knowledge or experience, rather the opposite. Experience has shown me this. To transfer knowledge we would simply have to be together, to walk a time, to experience together, to plan, carry out and try to understand why there is no formula. Who am I to tell? I am not trustworthy. I am disobedient. I have found a possible way of refusing to do what I am asked, by counter-proposing. I improvise. I deviate from the original plan. I think it is necessary to put myself at risk. At least I think it is necessary that I as the actor, with my role and responsibility, am present fully,
in situations that are so different from one
evening to the next. I do not believe, in the
work that we do, that I can repeat or
recreate only what I did yesterday, if today
calls for something else. Tomorrow the world
is a day older, the audience is new, we have
changed, another actor will do something
that calls for a different action than yester-
day's. It is as if I myself do not even know
fully what the actor/ine is going to do, before
she is in the process of doing it. Doing adds
danger, risk, it fills the material and the
score, the acting, with new life, literally.

When I am performing now, do I
really still think? There is so much informa-
tion stored from earlier on, that I can push
my own play button, charge and go. I trust
that the actor will know; that she will
surprise us both. I trust that I will tap into
my own and our collective memory.

"But how?" my younger colleagues
ask me. "How do you practise this?"
Sometimes it is as if they are asking for the
recipe, and I wish I could answer as matter-
of-factly as listing up the ingredients,
although I am not good at following recipes
either. Even when I do follow a recipe, it
does not guarantee a better result. The
recipe is me I am afraid, the special mix that
is me, this mixture of genes and context.
During my first years at Grenland Friteater I
was probably more catholic than the Pope
himself in terms of following and advocating
what was then our practice. As I get older, I
am becoming more of an agnostic, more
doubtful...

We can prepare to live on or off
stage, but we cannot be sure what will
happen, how it will work. There are too
many variables. We can only guide one
another on a certain part of the journey.
Share our mutual observations, experiences
and doubts as we go along.

Last year, during the celebrations for
my 50th birthday at the theatre, the people
giving speeches were almost all women,
women who in their early days were pupils at
the theatre, as well as older colleagues. They
all stood up and spoke. They spoke well. I
felt our mutual practice, our exercises
acknowledged and seen. Something of value
had been passed on.

Also in the Magdalena Project we
have tried to maintain a focus on the craft,
on theatre itself, on the practitioners, and
have put the practice first: questions,
themes, proposals to be dealt with by the
actor, alone or with the director, are sought
in workshops, work demonstrations, etudes,
improvisations, new training methods, new
constellations. It is an ongoing marathon
where one gives the baton to the next
woman who has an input on the topic. Often
one cannot tell when or by whom a question
was put forward or answered; it is as though
it was sent through the curiosity and interest
of the people gathered.

In my practice as artistic director I
plan, think, mull around and look as if I am
not doing anything 'important'. I wash and
clean and do things I know well, when I
need to solve challenges in the other profes-
sions. Washing, cleaning and tidying are
perfect tasks for thoughts to reappear. The
kind of thinking possible whilst doing these
chores is particular. I let the thought have
time to become the answer or solution I was
searching for. As the body itself is charged
with excess energy, and is almost electric
during artistic peaks, an almost mechanical
movement helps it to settle and recharge,
whilst the brain can go into an almost medi-
tative level.

The cleaning up happens both
inside and outside. While cleaning at our
theatre, or somewhere else, I am accessible
to those not bothered by my cleaning, and
left in peace by those who should have
cleaned themselves.

Waking at 5.30 a.m. getting into
clothes without thinking of the unnatural speed of getting dressed, thinking all the time: will I remember? Will I remember? As a director I often keep on working during the night when sleeping/dreaming/thinking and wake up at intervals with good solutions to challenge the work. Waking in the morning I am afraid that the thoughts will be gone. After years of practice I know that the good thoughts will stay. I have only to put myself in a state where they can reap-

Almost the same - but not so obviously beneficial for the surroundings - is the Kung Fu training. For years now, our theatre does not have the regular practice of the physical and vocal training that we had with the original group. In workshops, the original training is used as a possible way to create actors’ personal scores or material, a way of practising on your own when not engaged in the makings of a performance. What we as a group have managed to bring into the equation is Kung Fu training.

After twenty years of practice for me it has developed into an almost physical and mental necessity. In the most hectic periods when even the martial arts' training is missed, I have a sensation of abstinence in my body. To know some of the warm-up, preparation and strengthening exercises and forms so well gives the whole body a treatment that stimulates the energy needed for the rest of the day.

I try to empty myself from all, just do, let this old knowledge guide me through the routine. In the emptying I become levelled, balanced and, very often, high spirited. It is an excellent way to start a day. And many solutions and good proposals find their way in then.

When the group was founded there was a big division between them (the outside world) and us, but now some of them have sneaked in. We are busy in a way that they were. We have to make compromises and
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take shortcuts as they did. We live and work in many worlds, as the world wants us to work: a lot of it. But we are only so many and we are getting older. It seems a long time ago since I could put on only one hat, the "I am an actor" hat, and talk about the work from that perspective. Where did that person go? Is she still there? Is it the actor that has informed the other professions that naturally arrive when working in group-theatre for a long time?

A workshop with Ingemar Lindh, of the Institutet for Scenkonst, was my starting point at Grenland Friteater, and it also gave a new direction of work for the whole company. A forward roll; a backward roll; a backward roll going up into a candle, flip over back through the shoulder, onto your chest, roll down on pelvis and thighs. Arch. Leap up in the air, turn in mid-air and land gracefully again. "Hang in the air". Stand on your hands, walk on your hands, and be able to fall over into an arch, and get up on two legs again. Sit on your bum, and with the body in an arch get up, without falling backwards. You just need the explosive energy fired through the lower back. From there, jump and smack your knees onto your chest. After coming back down, leap again and make a new arch smacking your hands onto your ankles, whilst bending backwards in the air. I thought: never, ever, in my life will I be able to do that! Ingemar was older than me, but at that time I felt very fat, very old and very sad. I also thought that it was very important that I should master these exercises.

I was twenty-five years old, I had been taking care of myself for almost ten years, and I had paid quite a lot of money to be now in a big meeting hall standing on my head without paying since childhood. I never imagined it could have anything to do with theatre. After all I had been in amateur theatre when I was young and we did nothing of the sort. My father was athletic and we did acrobatics for fun in the summer. If he had seen me in this meeting hall he would have thought I had gone mad. Pay to play! Indoors, and no talking, no laughing, it was serious. It was meant to lead somewhere.

I had difficulties understanding that there was more to do after having learned how to do the exercise one way. Maybe I thought about it like manual work, like being on an assembly line, where once you have done your task, you wait for another. Later on I understood that I worked my body like a Meccano set, like a Japanese doll that rolls back into position no matter where you place her - preferably in the corner furthest away from the teacher.

One part of me was full of trust and eager to go along a new path, another part of me thought I had entered a madhouse of some sort, or that it was all some kind of joke: maybe it was my first experience of double vision, and you are inside and outside at the same time. This workshop changed my life; it was the beginning of my theatre practice, my profession. It does not change the fact that I feel, still, an outsider of sorts, and there is this chance that maybe I will always remain one, no matter how long I practise. The doubt will be there.
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